arXiv:1501.06045v2 [math.AG] 18 Nov 2015

RANK TWO NILPOTENT CO-HIGGS SHEAVES ON COMPLEX SURFACES

M. CORRÊA

Dedicated to Jose Seade, for his 60th birthday.

Abstract. Let $(\mathcal{E},\phi)$ be a rank two co-Higgs vector bundles on a Kähler compact surface X with $\phi \in H^0(X, End(\mathcal{E})\otimes T_X)$ nilpotent. If $(\mathcal{E},\phi)$ is semi-stable, then one of the following holds up to finite étale cover:

i) X is uniruled.
ii) X is a torus and $(\mathcal{E},\phi)$ is strictly semi-stable.
iii) X is a properly elliptic surface and $(\mathcal{E},\phi)$ is strictly semi-stable.

1. Introduction.

A generalised complex structure on a real manifold X of dimension $2n$, as defined by Hitchin [10], is a rank-$2n$ isotropic subbundle $E^{0,1} \subset (T_X \oplus T_X^*)^{\mathbb{C}}$ such that

i) $E^{0,1} \oplus \overline{E^{0,1}} = (T_X \oplus T_X^*)^{\mathbb{C}}$
ii) $C^\infty(E^{0,1})$ is closed under the Courant bracket.

On a manifold with a generalized complex structure M. Gualtieri in [7] defined the notion of a generalized holomorphic bundle. More precisely, a generalized holomorphic bundle on a generalized complex manifold, is a vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ with a differential operator $\overline{D} : C^\infty(\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow C^\infty(\mathcal{E}\otimes E^{0,1})$ such that for all smooth function f and all section $s \in C^\infty(\mathcal{E})$ the following holds

i) $\overline{D}(fs) = \overline{\partial}(fs) + f\overline{D}(s)$
ii) $\overline{D}^2 = 0$.

In the case of an ordinary complex structure and $\overline{D} = \overline{\partial} + \phi$, for operators

$$\overline{\partial} : C^\infty(\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow C^\infty(\mathcal{E}\otimes \overline{T_X^*})$$

and

$$\phi : C^\infty(\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow C^\infty(\mathcal{E}\otimes T_X),$$

the vanishing $\overline{D}^2 = 0$ means that $\overline{\partial}^2 = 0$, $\overline{\partial}\phi = 0$ and $\phi\wedge\phi = 0$. By a classical result of Malgrange the condition $\overline{\partial}^2 = 0$ implies that $\mathcal{E}$ is a holomorphic vector bundle. On the other hand, $\overline{\partial}\phi = 0$ implies that ϕ is a holomorphic global section

$$\phi \in H^0(X, End(\mathcal{E})\otimes T_X)$$

which satisfies an integrability condition $\phi\wedge\phi = 0$. A co-Higgs sheaf on a complex manifold X is a sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ together with a section $\phi \in H^0(X, End(\mathcal{E})\otimes T_X)$(called a *Higgs fields*) for which $\phi\wedge\phi = 0$. General properties of co-Higgs bundles were studied in [9, 20]. There is a motivation in physics for studying co-Higgs bundles, see [8], [12] and [26].

There are no stable co-Higgs bundles with nonzero Higgs field on curves C of genus $g > 1$. (When $g = 1$, a co-Higgs bundle is the same thing as a Higgs bundle

Date: November 19, 2015.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14D20- 53D18, 14D06.
Key words and phrases. Higgs bundles-stable vector bundles.

in the usual sense.) In fact, contracting with a holomorphic differential gives a non-trivial endomorphism of $\mathcal{E}$ commuting with ϕ which is impossible in the stable case [9, 21] . S. Rayan showed in [19] the non-existence of stable co-Higgs bundles with non trivial Higgs field on K3 and general-type surfaces. In this note we prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $(\mathcal{E},\phi)$ be a rank two co-Higgs vector bundles on a Kähler compact surface X with $\phi \in H^0(X, End(\mathcal{E})\otimes T_X)$ nilpotent. If $(\mathcal{E},\phi)$ is semi-stable, then one of the following holds up to finite étale cover:*

i) X is uniruled.
ii) X is a torus and $(\mathcal{E},\phi)$ is strictly semi-stable.
iii) X is a properly elliptic surface and $(\mathcal{E},\phi)$ is strictly semi-stable.

It follows direct of proof of Theorem 1.1 that the we can consider a more general classes of singular projective surfaces .

Theorem 1.2. *Let $(\mathcal{E},\phi)$ be a rank two co-Higgs torsion-free sheaf on a normal projective surface X with $\phi \in H^0(X, End(\mathcal{E})\otimes T_X)$ nilpotent. If $(\mathcal{E},\phi)$ is stable, then X is uniruled.*

Finally, in this work we consider a relation between co-Higgs bundles and Poisson geometry on $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles. In [23] Polishchuk associated to each rank-2 co-Higgs bundle $(\mathcal{E},\phi)$ a Poisson structure on its projectivized bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$. This relation was explained by Rayan in [20] as follows:

let $Y := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ and consider the natural projection $\pi : Y \to X$. The exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow T_{X|Y} \longrightarrow T_Y \longrightarrow \pi^*T_X \longrightarrow 0$$

implies that $T_{X|Y}\otimes\pi^*T_X \subset \bigwedge^2 T_Y$. Since $T_{X|Y} = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})) = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{E})/\mathbb{C}^*$ we get that

$$\pi_*(T_{X|Y}\otimes\pi^*T_X) = \pi_*T_{X|Y}\otimes T_X = End_0(\mathcal{E})\otimes T_X,$$

where $End_0(\mathcal{E})$ denotes the trace-free endomorphisms of $\mathcal{E}$. Therefore, we can associate a trace-free co-Higgs fields $\phi \in H^0(X, End(\mathcal{E})\otimes T_X)$ a bi-vector $\pi^*\phi \in H^0(X, T_{X|Y}\otimes\pi^*T_X) \subset H^0(X, \bigwedge^2 T_Y)$ on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$. The co-Higgs condition $\phi\wedge\phi = 0$ implies that bi-vector $\pi^*\phi$ is integrable, see the introduction of [20]. The codimension one foliation on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ is the called *foliation by symplectic leaves* induced by Poisson struture .

We get an interisting consequence of the proof Theorem 1.2.

Corollary 1.1. *If $(\mathcal{E},\phi)$ is locally free, stable and nilpotent , then the closure of the all leaves of the foliation by symplectic leaves on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ are rational surfaces.*

2. Semi-stable co-Higgs sheaves

Definition 2.1. *A co-Higgs sheaf on a complex manifold X is a sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ together with a section $\phi \in H^0(X, End(\mathcal{E})\otimes T_X)$(called a Higgs fields) for which $\phi\wedge\phi = 0$.*

Denote by $End_0(\mathcal{E}) := ker(tr : End(\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X)$ the trace-free part of the endomorphism bundle of $\mathcal{E}$. Since

$$End(\mathcal{E}) = End_0(\mathcal{E})\oplus\mathcal{O}_X$$

we have that $End(\mathcal{E})\otimes T_X = (End_0(\mathcal{E})\otimes T_X)\oplus T_X$. Thus, the Higgs field $\phi \in H^0(X, End(\mathcal{E})\otimes T_X)$ can be decomposed as $\phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2$, where ϕ_1 is the trace-free part and ϕ_2 is a global vector field on X. In particular, if the surface X has no global holomorphic vector fields, then every Higgs field is trace-free.

Definition 2.2. *Let (X,ω) be a polarized Kähler compact manifold. We say that $(\mathcal{E},\phi)$ is semi-stable if*

$$\frac{c_1(\mathcal{F})\cdot[\omega]}{rank(\mathcal{F})} \leq \frac{c_1(\mathcal{E})\cdot[\omega]}{rank(\mathcal{E})}$$

for all coherent subsheaves $0 \neq \mathcal{F} \subsetneq \mathcal{E}$ satisfying $\Phi(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}\otimes T_X$, and stable if the inequality is strict for all such $\mathcal{F}$. We say that $(\mathcal{E},\phi)$ is strictly semi-stable if $(\mathcal{E},\phi)$ is semi-stable but non-stable.

3. Holomorphic foliations

Definition 3.1. *Let X be a connected complex manifold. A one-dimensional holomorphic foliation is given by the following data:*

i) an open covering $\mathcal{U}=\{U_\alpha\}$ of X;
ii) for each U_α an holomorphic vector field ζ_α ;
iii) for every non-empty intersection, $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$, a holomorphic function

$$f_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathcal{O}_X^*(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta);$$

such that $\zeta_\alpha = f_{\alpha\beta}\zeta_\beta$ in $U_\alpha\cap U_\beta$ and $f_{\alpha\beta}f_{\beta\gamma}=f_{\alpha\gamma}$ in $U_\alpha\cap U_\beta\cap U_\gamma$.

We denote by $K_{\mathcal{F}}$ the line bundle defined by the cocycle $\{f_{\alpha\beta}\}\in \mathrm{H}^1(X,\mathcal{O}^*)$. Thus, a one-dimensional holomorphic foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on X induces a global holomorphic section $\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}\in \mathrm{H}^0(X,T_X\otimes K_{\mathcal{F}})$. The line bundle $K_{\mathcal{F}}$ is called the *canonical bundle* of $\mathcal{F}$. Two sections $\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\eta_{\mathcal{F}}$ of $\mathrm{H}^0(X,T_X\otimes K_{\mathcal{F}})$ are equivalent, if there exists a never vanishing holomorphic function $\varphi\in \mathrm{H}^0(X,\mathcal{O}^*)$, such that $\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}=\varphi\cdot\eta_{\mathcal{F}}$. It is clear that $\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\eta_{\mathcal{F}}$ define the same foliation. Thus, a holomorphic foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on X is an equivalence of sections of $H^0(X,T_X\otimes K_{\mathcal{F}})$.

4. Examples

4.1. **Canonical example of split co-Higgs bundles.** Here we will give an example which naturally generalizes the canonical example given by Rayan on [20, Chapter 6]. Let (X,ω) be a polarized Kähler compact manifold. Suppose that there exists a global section $\zeta\in H^0(X,Hom(N,TX\otimes L))\simeq H^0(X,TX\otimes L\otimes N^*)$. Now, consider the vector bundle

$$\mathcal{E}=L\oplus N.$$

Define the following co-Higgs fields

$$\phi : L\oplus N \longrightarrow (T_X\otimes L)\oplus(T_X\otimes N)\in H^0(X,End(\mathcal{E})\otimes T_X)$$

by $\phi(s,t)=(\zeta(t),0)$. Since $\phi\circ\phi=0\in H^0(End(\mathcal{E})\otimes T_X\otimes T_X)$ we get that $\phi\wedge\phi=0$. Moreover, observe that the kernel of ϕ is the ϕ-invariant line bundle L. On the other hand, the line bundle L is destabilising only when

$$[2c_1(L)-c_1(\mathcal{E})]\cdot[\omega]=[c_1(L)-c_1(N)]\cdot[\omega]>0.$$

That is, if

$$[c_1(L)]\cdot[\omega]>[c_1(N)]\cdot[\omega].$$

4.2. **Co-Higgs bundles on ruled surfaces.** Let C be a curve of genus $g>1$. Now, consider the ruled surface $X:=\mathbb{P}(K_C\oplus\mathcal{O}_C)$ and

$$\pi:\mathbb{P}(K_C\oplus\mathcal{O}_C)\longrightarrow C$$

the natural projection. Consider a Poisson structure on X given by a bivector $\sigma\in H^0(X,\wedge^2T_X)$. Let $(\mathcal{E},\phi)$ be a nilpotent Higgs bundle on C. S. Rayan showed in [20] that $(\pi^*\mathcal{E},\sigma(\pi^*\phi))$ is a stable co-Higgs bundle on X.

4.3. **Co-Higgs orbibundles on weighted projective spaces.** Let w_0, w_1, w_2 be positive integers, set $|w| := w_0 + w_1 + w_2$. Assume that w_0, w_1, w_2 are relatively prime. Define an action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ in $\mathbb{C}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}^* \times (\mathbb{C}^3 \setminus \{0\}) & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{C}^3 \setminus \{0\}) \\ \lambda.(z_0, z_1, z_2) & \longmapsto & (\lambda^{w_0} z_0, \lambda^{w_1} z_1, \lambda^{w_2} z_2) \end{array} \tag{4.1}$$

and consider the weighted projective plane

$$\mathbb{P}(w_0, w_1, w_2) := (\mathbb{C}^3 \setminus \{0\})/\sim$$

induced by the action above. We will denote this space by $\mathbb{P}(\omega)$. On $\mathbb{P}(\omega)$ we have an Euler sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\omega)} \xrightarrow{\varsigma} \bigoplus_{i=0}^{2} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\omega)}(\omega_i) \longrightarrow T\mathbb{P}(\omega) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\omega)}$ is the trivial line orbibundle and $T\mathbb{P}(\omega) = \mathrm{Hom}(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}(\omega)}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\omega)})$ is the tangent orbibundle of $\mathbb{P}(\omega)$. The map ς is given explicitly by $\varsigma(1) = (\omega_0 z_0, \omega_1 z_1, \omega_2 z_2)$. Now, let $(\mathcal{E}, \phi)$ be a co-Higgs orbibundle on $\mathbb{P}(\omega)$. Tensoring the Euler sequence by $End(\mathcal{E})$, we obtain

$$0 \longrightarrow End(\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^{2} End(\mathcal{E})(\omega_i) \longrightarrow End(\mathcal{E}) \otimes T\mathbb{P}(\omega) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Thus, the co-Higgs fields ϕ can be represented, in homogeneous coordinates, by

$$\phi = \phi_0 \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z_0} + \phi_1 \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + \phi_2 \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2},$$

where $\phi_i \in H^0(\mathbb{P}(\omega), End(\mathcal{E})(w_i))$, for all $i = 0, 1, 2$, and $\phi + \theta \otimes R_\omega$ define the same co-Higgs field as ϕ, where R_ω is the adapted radial vector field

$$R_\omega = \omega_0 z_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_0} + \omega_1 z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + +\omega_2 z_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2},$$

with θ a endomorphism of $\mathcal{E}$. Suppose that

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}(m_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(m_2)$$

and that there exists a stable ϕ for $\mathcal{E}$ such that $m_1 \geq m_2$. Then

$$|m_1 - m_2| \leq \max_{0 \leq i \neq j \leq 2} \{\omega_i + \omega_j\}.$$

In fact, this is a consequence of Bott's Formulae for weighted projective spaces. It follows from (see [6]) that

$$\mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{P}(\omega), T\mathbb{P}(\omega) \otimes \mathcal{O}_\omega(k)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{P}(\omega), \Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}(\omega)}(\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{2} \omega_i + k)) \neq \emptyset$$

if and only if $k > -\max_{0 \leq i \neq j \leq 2} \{\omega_i + \omega_j\}$. This generalize the example given by S. Rayan in [19].

4.4. **Co-Higgs bundles on two dimensional complex tori.** Let X be a two dimensional complex torus and a co-Higgs bundle $\phi \in H^0(X, End(\mathcal{E}) \otimes T_X)$. Then ϕ is equivalente to a pair of commutative endomorphism of $\mathcal{E}$. In fact, since the tangent bundle T_X is holomorphically trivial, we can take a trivialization by choosing two linearly independent global vector fields $v_1, v_2 \in H^0(X, T_X)$. Then, we can write

$$\phi = \phi_1 \otimes v_1 + \phi_2 \otimes v_2.$$

The condition $\phi \wedge \phi = 0$ implies that

$$\phi_1 \circ \phi_2 = \phi_2 \circ \phi_1.$$

We have a canonical nilpotent co-Higgs bundle $(\mathcal{E},\phi)$, where $\mathcal{E}=T_X=\mathcal{O}\oplus\mathcal{O}$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & v \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where v is a global vector field on X.

5. Proof of Theorem

By using that the Higgs field $\phi\in H^0(X,End(\mathcal{E})\otimes T_X)$ is nilpotent we have that $Ker(\phi)=:L$ is a well defined line bundle on X . Thus , we have a exact sequence

$$0\to L\longrightarrow\mathcal{E}\longrightarrow\mathcal{I}_Z\otimes N\longrightarrow 0,$$

where the nilpotent Higgs field ϕ factors as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}\otimes T_X \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{I}_Z\otimes N & \longrightarrow & L\otimes T_X. \end{array} \tag{5.1}$$

The morphism $\mathcal{I}_Z\otimes N\to L\otimes T_X$ induces a holomorphic foliation on X which induces a global section $\zeta_\phi\in H^0(X,T_X\otimes L\otimes N^*)$. Since $\det(\mathcal{E})=L\otimes N$ we conclude that $L\otimes N^*=L^2\otimes\det(\mathcal{E}^*)$. Then

$$\zeta_\phi\in H^0(X,T_X\otimes L^2\otimes\det(\mathcal{E}^*)).$$

Let $K:=L^2\otimes\det(\mathcal{E}^*)$ the canonical bundle of the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ associated to the co-Higgs fields ϕ. If $\mathcal{E}$ is semi-stable then

$$[c_1(K)]\cdot[\omega]=[2c_1(L)-c_1(\mathcal{E})]\cdot[\omega]\leq 0$$

for some Kähler class ω . If $K\cdot[\omega]<0$, it follows from [14] that K is not pseudo-efective [5]. It follows from Brunella's theorem [1] that X is uniruled.

Now, suppose X is a normal projective surface and that $K\cdot H=0$, for some H ample By Hodge index theorem we have that $K^2\cdot H^2\leq(K\cdot H)^2=0$, then $K^2\leq 0$. Suppose that $K^2<0$. We have that $D=H+\epsilon K$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor ample for $0<\epsilon<<1$, see [15, proposition 1.3.6]. Thus, we have that

$$K\cdot D=K\cdot H+\epsilon^2K^2=\epsilon^2K^2<0.$$

By Bogomolov-McQuillan-Miyaoka's theorem [3] we conclude that X is uniruled. If $K^2=0$, then K is numerically trivial. This fact is well known, but for convenience of the reader we give a proof. Suppose that there exists $C\subset X$ such that $K\cdot C>0$. Now, Consider the divisor $B=(H^2)C-(H\cdot C)H$. Then $B\cdot H=0$ and $K\cdot B=(H^2)K\cdot C<0$. Define $F=mK+B$ for $0<m<<1$. Therefore $F\cdot H=0$ and $F^2>0$. This is a contradiction by the Hodge index Theorem. In this case $\mathcal{E}$ is strictly semi-stable.

Now, we apply the classification, up to finite étale cover, of holomorphic foliations on projective surfaces with canonical bundle numerically trivial [22], [17], [2]. Therefore, up to finite étale cover, either:

i) X is uniruled;
ii) X is a torus;
iii) $k(X)=1$ and $X=B\times C$ with $g(B)\geq 2$, C is elliptic. That is, X is a sesquielliptic surface.

If X is Kähler and non-algebraic it follows from [2] that, up to finite étale cover, either X has a unique elliptic fibration or X is a torus.

6. Proof of Corollary 1.1

Since $(\mathcal{E}, \phi)$ is nilpotent and stable the co-Higgs fields induces a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ by rational curves on X. Now, consider the projective bundle $\pi : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \to X$. Then the foliation by symplectic leaves $\mathcal{G}$ on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ is the pull-back of $\mathcal{F}$ by π. In particular, a closure of the a leaf of the foliation by symplectic leaves $\mathcal{G}$ is of type $\pi^{-1}(f(\mathbb{P}^1))$, where $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \to X$ is the uniformization of a rational leaf of $\mathcal{F}$. Clearly $\pi^{-1}(f(\mathbb{P}^1))$ is a rational surface.

Acknowlegments. We are grateful to Arturo Fernandez-Perez, Renato Martins and Marcos Jardim for pointing out corrections. We are grateful to Henrique Bursztyn for interesting conversations about Poisson Geometry.

References

[1] M. Brunella, *A positivity property for foliations on compact Kähler manifolds*, Internat. J. Math. 17 (2006), 35-43.
[2] M. Brunella, *Foliations on complex projective surfaces*,in Dynamical Systems,Part II, Scuola Norm.Sup.,Pisa 2003,49-77.
[3] F. Bogomolov, M. McQuillan, *Rational curves on foliated varieties*, preprint IHES M/01/07 (2001)
[4] K. Corlette, *Flat G-bundles with canonical metrics*. J. Differential Geom. 28, 3 (1988), 361-382.
[5] J.-P. Demailly, *L2-vanishing theorems for positive line bundles and adjunction theory*, Transcendental Methods in Algebraic Geometry, Springer Lecture Notes 1646 (1996), 1-97
[6] I. Dolgachev, *Weighted projective varieties*, Group actions and vector fields, pp. 34-71, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 956, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, New York (1982).
[7] M Gualtieri , *Generalized complex geometry*, Ann. of Math.(2), 2011 arXiv:math/0401221v1. DPhil thesis, University of Oxford.
[8] S. Gukov, E. Witten , *Branes and quantization*. Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 13, 5 (2009), 1445-1518.
[9] N. Hitchin, *Generalized holomorphic bundles and the B-field action*, J. Geom. and Phys. 61 (2011), no. 1, 352-362.
[10] N. Hitchin, *Generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds*. Q.J.Math. 54,3(2003),281-308.
[11] N. Hitchin, *The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface*. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 55, 1 (1987), 59-126.
[12] A. Kapustin , Y. Li, *Open-string BRST cohomology for generalized complex branes*. Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 9, 4 (2005), 559-574.
[13] S. Kebekus, L.Sola-Conde, M. Toma. *Rationally connected foliations after Bogomolov and McQuillan* (mit Luis Sol und Matei Toma) Journal Algebraic Geometry16 (2007), 65-81.
[14] A. Lamari, *Le cone Kählerien d'une surface*, J. Math. Pures Appl. 78 (1999), 249-263
[15] R. K. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry,* Vol. I, Springer, 2000, A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, No. 48.
[16] M. McQuillan, *Noncommutative Mori theory*, preprint IHES M/00/15 (2000) (re- vised: M/01/42 (2001))
[17] M. McQuillan, *Diophantine approximations and foliations*, Publ. Math. IHES 87 (1998), 121-174
[18] Y. Miyaoka. *Deformation of a morphism along a foliation*. Algebraic Geometry Bowdoin 1985. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 46 (1987), 245-268.
[19] *Constructing co-Higgs bundles on* $\mathbb{CP}^2$. Q. J. Math. 65 (2014), no. 4, 1437-1460
[20] S. Rayan, *Geometry of co-Higgs bundles*. D. Phil. thesis, Oxford, 2011. http://people.maths.ox.ac.uk/hitchin/hitchinstudents/rayan.pdf.
[21] S. Rayan, *Co-Higgs bundles on* $\mathbb{P}^1$, New York J. Math. 19 (2013), 925-945;
[22] T. Peternell, *Generically nef vector bundles and geometric applications*, in: Complex and differential geometry, 345-368, Springer Proc. in Math. 8, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2011).
[23] A. Polishchuk, *Algebraic geometry of Poisson brackets*. J. Math. Sci. 84 (1997), 1413-1444.
[24] C. Simpson, *Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety I*; Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math., 79 (1994), 47-129.
[25] C. Simpson, *Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety II*; Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math., 80 (1994), 5-79.

[26] R. Zucchini, *Generalized complex geometry, generalized branes and the Hitchin sigma model.* J. High Energy Phys., 3 (2005), 22-54

Departamento de Matemática - ICEX, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, UFMG
Current address: Av. Antônio Carlos 6627, 31270-901, Belo Horizonte-MG, Brasil.
E-mail address: mauricio@mat.ufmg.br